

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,387	0/797,387 03/10/2004		Eitaro Morita	8305-238US (NP148-1)	3592
570	7590	09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	MP STRAUSS	MCAVOY,	MCAVOY, ELLEN M		
	MERCE SQUAF KET STREET, S		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				1764	
				DATE MAILED: 09/07/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary  The MAILING DATE of this communication app		10/797,387	MORITA, EITARO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ellen M. McAvoy	1764				
Period fo	or Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	vitn the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS COMMONTHS from the mailing date of this common of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for r	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO II, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	•	•				
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
	•	·					
	on Papers						
·	The specification is objected to by the		by the Evenines				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecti		-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	Application No				
		the priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the Internationa		t received				
	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies no	r received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P <sup>T</sup> or No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/10/2004</u> .		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,387

Art Unit: 1764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Andoh et al [European Patent Application (1,104,800)].

Andoh et al ["Andoh"] disclose a lubricating oil composition for internal combustion engines which comprises a major amount of base oil of lubricating viscosity and, as additives, a metal-containing detergent, a boron-containing alkenyl- or alkylsuccinimide, a zinc dialkyldithiophosphate, an oxidation inhibitor and an ashless dithiocarbamate. Andoh teaches that the lubricating oil composition has a boron content in an amount of 0.01 to 0.2 wt.%. See page 3, lines 5-27. Examples of suitable metal-containing detergents include metal salicylate and metal sulfonate, and the metal may be alkaline earth metals such as calcium, magnesium and barium. The detergents may be

Application/Control Number: 10/797,387

Art Unit: 1764

neutral or overbased and having a total base number (TBN) of 150 to 300 mg KOH/g or higher. See page 3, lines 12-37. The ashless dithiocarbamate may be added to the composition in an amount of 0.1 to 5 wt.% and is set forth on page 5, lines 15-20. The examiner is of the position that the lubricating oil composition of Andoh clearly meets the limitations of the above rejected claims since the components may be the same and applicant's open-ended claim language "comprises" allows for the addition of other additives. Although an amount of sulfur of 0.01 to 0.3 % by mass (100-3000 ppm) in the lubricant composition is not set forth by Andoh, the examiner is of the position that the dithiocarbamate component may be added to the composition in an amount such that the sulfur content is within this claimed range.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakamura et al [Japanese Patent (2000-034491)] and Saeki et al [Japanese Patent (2002-003875)], considered separately.

Nakamura et al ["Nakamura"] disclose a lubricating oil composition suitable for use in internal combustion engines comprising a major amount of two specific mineral oils, and, as additives, an organomolybdenum compound, a polybutenylsuccinimideboron adduct, an alkaline earth metal sulfonate and an alkaline earth metal salicylate.

Nakamura teaches that the amount of boron in the oil composition is within the range of 0.004-0.014 % by weight. Nakamura teaches that the sulfonate and salicylate detergents may be overbased having a TBN in the range of 310-500 mgKOH/g. Nakamura also

allows for the addition of an organic polysulfide compound in an amount of 50-1000 ppm of sulfur. The examiner is of the position that the lubricating oil composition of Nakamura clearly meets the limitations of the above rejected claims since the components may be the same and applicant's open-ended claim language "comprises" allows for the addition of other additives to the oil composition.

Saeki et al ["Saeki"] disclose gear oil compositions comprising a base oil and, as additives, (A) 2-10 mass % phosphorus-base and/or sulfur-base extreme pressure agent, (B) 100-500 mass ppm (in terms of boron) of a boric acid-modified succinimide, (C) 100-1000 mass ppm (in terms of an alkaline earth metal) detergent having a TBN of 100 mgKOH/g or higher, and (D) 150-1,500 mass ppm (in terms of zinc) of an alkyldithio-phosphate. The examiner is of the position that the lubricating oil composition of Saeki clearly meets the limitations of the above rejected claims since the components may be the same and applicant's open-ended claim language "comprises" allows for the addition of other additives to the oil composition. Although an amount of sulfur of 0.01 to 0.3 % by mass (100-3000 ppm) in the lubricant composition is not set forth by Saeki, the examiner is of the position that the sulfur extreme pressure agent may be added to the composition in an amount such that the sulfur content is within this claimed range.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata et al (6,303,546).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,387

Art Unit: 1764

Hata et al ["Hata"] disclose a traction drive fluid which comprises a base oil blended with (A) an active phosphate ester base compound, (B) a boron-containing imide base dispersant, and (C) a boron-free imide base dispersant such that the boron content derived from component (B) is 100-600 ppm by weight, based on the base oil. Hata teaches that the oil composition may be used for a traction drive continuous variable transmission used in an automobile. Hata allows for the addition of other additives to the traction drive fluid including salicylate detergents, metal sulfonate rust preventive agents, sulfur-containing antiwear agents and metal deactivators of the benzotriazole and thiadiazole types. See column 7, lines 1-13. The examiner is of the position that the traction drive fluids of Hata clearly meet the limitations of the above rejected claims since the components may be the same and applicant's open-ended claim language "comprises" allows for the addition of other additives such as phosphorus ester component (A). Although an amount of sulfur of 0.01 to 0.3 % by mass (100-3000 ppm) in the lubricant composition is not set forth by Hata, the examiner is of the position that the sulfur-containing components may be added to the composition in conventional amounts such that the sulfur content is within this claimed range.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy August 29, 2006